

Site C Review - Responses to questions raised by Deloitte
August 25, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

No.	
175C	Date/Source of Question: Email from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on August 25, 2017 at 10:22pm
	Response provided by: [REDACTED]
	Functional Approver: [REDACTED]
	Question: We briefly discussed the fact that the numbers provided are risk adjusted and therefore don't exactly match the numbers we would have seen in the RRA document (e.g., Table 3-8). Assuming we are understanding that part correctly, what is the reason for not including the risk adjusted numbers in the RRA? What numbers are used for what purposes? We want to make sure that we understand exactly what the difference is, that we use the right data for our assessment, and that we are consistently comparing "apples to apples".
	Response: To provide a set of numbers to compare to Table 3-8 in the 2013 IRP, we have attached a version of the DSM Plan and the 2013 IRP DSM Plan that are risk adjusted and at the system level (including losses). 175C Site C Review - Deloitte Questions - August 2017 - Attachment 1 175C Site C Review - Deloitte Questions - August 2017 - Attachment 2